Interview Summary	09/911,042	OKAUE, TAKUMI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey D. Popham	2137	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC) personnel):		
(1) <u>Jeffrey D. Popham</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Larry Russ</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>04 June 2007</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's represen	ntative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.			
Identification of prior art discussed: Kamibayashi (U.S. Patent 7,065,648) and Ueda (U.S. Patent 6,289,102).			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g) was not reached. I	n)⊠ N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: The invention and refer discussion was on the virtual memory/storage device, who does/executes, and where it resides. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	nences were discussed with this virtual memory/stone and ments which the examination copy of the amendments ed.) ACTION MUST INCLUDING last Office action has a R OF ONE MONTH OR TITERVIEW SUMMARY FOR	th regard to claim 1. rage device is, what is the agreed would renthat would render the THE SUBSTANCE Iready been filed, AP HIRTY DAYS FROM DRM, WHICHEVER I	te the claims e claims OF THE PLICANT IS THIS S LATER, TO
requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
•			
`		EMMANUEL L. MOISE VISORY PATENT EXAMI	NER
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	 Examiner	s signature, if require	ed

Application No.

Applicant(s)